THE DEMOCRATS' WHITE VOTER PROBLEM

Hardly a week goes by without some Democrat Party hack putting finger to iPad and swiping out a screed about the Republican Party's problem with women or minorities.

This time it was Debbie Wasserman Schultz with "The GOP's Woman Problem." Schultz claims that the Republican Party was "rejected again by a bloc of voters that make up more than half of the electorate." That claim is as real as Schultz's hair color. The only bloc that rejected Romney was the same bloc that rejected Hillary; the bloc of minority voters who came out in force for Obama.

And unless Hillary Clinton also had a "woman problem" they didn't do it over gender.

For example in the South Carolina Democrat primary, Obama beat Hillary among women by 54 to 30. That's a much bigger split than the one between Obama and Romney among women. While Hillary Clinton beat Obama among white voters, Obama won 78 percent of the black vote.

There was no gender gap. There was a racial gap.

Throughout her campaign, Hillary Clinton consistently won the votes of white women in large numbers and lost the votes of women who said that their gender was not important. Obama won the female vote by his largest margins in southern states because he wasn't really winning by gender, he was benefiting from a large turnout of black women.

Obama won the female vote in Georgia by 32%, but Hillary won 62% of the white female vote. Obama however had won 87% of the black female vote. In Ohio, Hillary and Obama had nearly the same split, but Hillary won the female vote in Ohio by 16% because the racial makeup of the voters was different.

In 2012, Romney won 53% of the white female vote and 3% of the black female vote in Ohio. He didn't lose women. He lost the same "bloc of voters" that had rejected Hillary, not over gender, but over race.

The Republican Party doesn't have a "woman problem." Romney won the votes of white women in every age group; including young women. And Obama lost white women as he did all white voters.

He lost white voters by 59% to 39%. He lost white voters of every age and gender. His loss among white voters was completely unprecedented for any winner of a presidential election.

The GOP doesn't have a "woman problem", but the Democrats have a "white woman problem" and a "white man problem."

The articles about the GOP's problem with minority voters blame the Republican Party for alienating minority voters. But shouldn't the Democrat Party be held accountable for alienating white voters?

This is about more than just numbers.

The Democrat Party's poor performance among white voters is leading it to engage in some very questionable behavior. If Obama and his party weren't polling so poorly among white voters, it's doubtful that the Democrats would be nakedly exploiting racial tensions in Ferguson in the hopes of turning out black voters for the midterm elections.

This isn't a conspiracy theory. It's a New York Times article: *At Risk In Senate, Democrats Seek To Rally Blacks* (8/30). It describes how the Democrats are hoping to retain control of the Senate "as they urge black voters to channel their anger by voting Democrat in the midterm elections."

A race riot at the polls isn't the political strategy of a legitimate party, but the Democrats are legitimately panicking because they have lost white voters.

Obama's approval rating among young white voters, a group that came out for him in 2008, was at 28 percent. 58 percent of them would like to recall him from office. His approval ratings among the white working class are so catastrophic that he might as well be Walter Mondale.

So Obama is hitting the Rust Belt even while Democrats running for reelection avoid him like the Ebola virus. His fallback strategy is racism and more racism. Everything from Ferguson to the border crisis was set up to push his minority voting base into voting in the midterm elections.

The migrants crowded into gyms and the burned out stores in Ferguson are both the products of a criminally corrupt and racially divisive election strategy. But no amount of race riots or refugee mobs can save the Democrat Party from dealing with its white voter problem.

Instead of the GOP being ordered to change its policies to appeal to minority voters, maybe it's time that the Democrats changed their policies to appeal to white voters.

It wouldn't be that hard.

The Democrats have won white voters before. But then they got lazy and decided that it would be easier to depend on racial voting blocs. The blocs worked for Obama, but they didn't work out too well for them in Congress. Now the Democrats are making a last ditch effort to hold on to the Senate using an insulting and racist campaign that has already cost both black and white lives.

To win over white voters, the Democrats have to stop freeing drug dealers while banning guns. Instead they have to stop fighting the 2nd Amendment and start arresting drug dealers.

They have to stop pushing higher taxes and uncontrolled spending and start rebuilding the economy with jobs and tax cuts.

They have to let go of ObamaCare and stop pushing socialized medicine and socialized everything.

White voters have less faith in government. They believe that the country is on the wrong track. They aren't committed Republicans, but they are deeply skeptical of a deeply racist Democrat Party that no longer speaks to their needs and values.

The Democrat Party's response to its loss of support among white voters has been to accuse them of racism. In the same New Yorker interview in which Obama claimed that ISIS was a JV team, he blamed racism for his poor approval ratings among white voters. Both claims were delusional and wrong.

White voters did not belatedly become racist. The Democrat Party stopped listening to them and went down a racist rabbit hole trying to defend an administration where Al Sharpton and Eric Holder are dictating a national conversation on race.

Debbie Wasserman Schultz is right. One party in 2012 *was* "rejected again by a bloc of voters that make up more than half of the electorate."

That party was the Democrat Party.

It's time to ask whether a party that has lost the support of the majority of the country has any place running the country

Daniel Greenfield lives in New York and blogs as Sultan Knish.

Discuss this item on the forums. Click Here!